

The Future of XSLT Michael H. Kay

<markupFORUM/>





Where did we come from?

- SGML, Publishing, Typesetting,
 Device independence, DSSSL.
- XML, Data+Documents, Transformation
- XML on the browser
- XML server-side
 - in the publishing workflow
 - for content delivery



User reaction to XSLT











XSLT on the browser

- Early false start by Microsoft (WD-xsl)
- Soon corrected with MSXML 3
- Other browser vendors slow to follow
- Only just becoming viable 10 years later
- No XSLT 2.0 implementation
- No support for "web 2.0" or "ajax"
- Little enthusiasm



Why did this happen?

- It's all about
 - power
 - money
 - market share
 - glitz



- Browser vendors control the game - collectively, not individually
- They want more eyeballs
 - 94% of Mozilla's revenue comes from 3 600 le





What about the web developer?

- What does client-side XSLT offer?
 - portability hassles (until recently)
 - CSS can handle most of the rendering
 - Need to escape to Javascript for
 - anything interactive
 - forms
 - AJAX
 - one-way traffic (needs XForms for the return trip)
 - no technical advances since 2001





So, let's stick to our comfort zone...

XSLT on the server



Server-side XSLT

- Unlike the browser, this is a free economy
- But investment is low because prices are low
- Vendor strategies
 - Do it for fun
 - Give it away and hope to make money on something else (Altova)
 - Bundle it as part of something expensive (IBM, Intel, MarkLogic)
 - Do it cheaply, sell it cheaply (Saxonica)



XSLT 2.0 Processors

| Product | Status |
|-----------|---|
| Saxon | first and still dominant. Java and .NET |
| Altova | widely deployed as a development tool |
| Gestalt | hobbyist project, now abandoned |
| Intel | ships as part of ExpressWay |
| IBM | ships as part of Websphere |
| MarkLogic | XQuery offshoot, part of database |
| XQSharp | XQuery offshoot, freestanding (.NET) |

Nothing for the browser

Nothing for the open-source LAMP stack



STRENGTHS

- Effective and efficient XML processing language
- Wide recognition
- Client+Server
- Multi-vendor, good interop

WEAKNESSES

- Difficult to learn
- Some hostility
- Some limitations
- No longer the latest fashion
- Implementation on the browser has stalled
- Availability of 2.0 on the server still patchy



OPPORTUNITIES

- Top end: scaleability
- Parallel processing
- On the browser: an alternative to Javascript
- Distributed Applications

THREATS

- XML itself faces competition
- Browser vendors dropping support
- Languages with better XML support (Linq, Scala)
- XQuery more fashionable in academia



What does XSLT 3.0 offer?

- Streaming of large documents
- Separate compilation of large stylesheets
- Extra data types for managing complex data
- In short:
 - very useful stuff for high-end XSLT users who are stretching the limits
 - not much to attract people away from Java / JavaScript / PHP / RoR etc
- But who will implement it?



On the browser

The browser vendors control everything; no one else can make things happen Javascript is now so powerful and fast that it can be used as a VM for other languages



A vision

- User interface programming becomes more rule-based and declarative
- The browser becomes part of the cloud: applications run where they choose, not where the developer puts them
- The whole application shares a common type system
- Developers don't have to worry about all the low-level details of web programming (history, cookies, cross-site scripting, injection attacks)



A step towards that goal...

- XSLT 2.0 on the browser
 - not just XML-to-HTML conversion
 - full user-interface support
 - events handled by templates
 - seamless AJAX support
 - XForms integration
 - no Javascript needed
- Can be achieved by cross-compiling Java processors using GWT



Conclusion

- XSLT has critical mass
- But it's losing momentum
 it needs a mid-life kicker
- In particular, it hasn't moved forward on the browser in 10 years
 - it has remained a Web 1.0 technology
 - but there are great opportunities

